

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/627,950 Examiner FRANK W. LU	Applicant(s) RADTKEY ET AL. Art Unit 1634
--	--	--	--

All Participants:

(1) FRANK W. LU.

(2) Ms. Diane Peng (Req. No. 54,550).

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: After non-final rejection

(3) _____.
 (4) _____

Time: 4 pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 6, 8, 12-14, 18, and 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ms. Peng agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)